

Report of the Head of Planning & Enforcement Services

Address 53 PINN WAY RUISLIP

Development: Erection of a two storey rear extension and single storey side extensions.

LBH Ref Nos: 1244/APP/2009/2425

Drawing Nos: 09:383/01
09:383/02 B
09:383/03 B

Date Plans Received: 10/11/2009

Date(s) of Amendment(s):

Date Application Valid: 12/11/2009

1. CONSIDERATIONS

1.1 Site and Locality

The application site is located on the east side of Pinn Way and comprises a two storey detached house with a front projection, front gable, porch and a glazed roof canopy along the southern flank wall. To the south lies 55 Pinn Way and to the north lies 51 Pinn Way, both detached houses. This side of the road has a staggered building line and as such, 51 Pinn Way lies in front, and 55 Pinn Way lies to the rear of the front building line of the application property. Also, the gradient of the land is such that the rear garden is at a lower level to that of the street.

The street scene is residential in character and appearance comprising two storey detached houses of varying designs and the application site lies within the 'Developed Area' as identified in the adopted Hillingdon Unitary Development Plan (Saved Policies September 2007). The application site also lies within an Archaeological Priority Area, however given the nature of the proposed development no archaeological remains would be affected.

1.2 Proposed Scheme

Planning permission is sought for the erection of a two storey rear extension and single storey side extensions on the north and south flank walls.

The proposed two storey rear extension would measure 11m wide for the full width of the existing property, 5.9m deep along the southern flank wall and 3.9m deep along the northern flank wall. The main roof would be extended rearwards over the two storey rear extension, resulting in a crown roof. The proposed part two storey rear projection would be finished with a hipped roof set some 0.5m below the roof ridge.

The proposed single storey side extension on the southern flank wall would be set flush with the front of the house. It would measure 2m wide, 11.2m deep set flush with the rear wall of the two storey rear projection, finished with a crown roof 2.2m high at eaves level and 3.2m high at its highest point.

The single storey side extension on the northern flank wall would also be in line with the front wall of the house. It would measure 2.6m wide, 9.7m deep, set flush with the rear wall of the two storey rear extension, and finished with a crown roof 2.2m high at eaves level and 3.2m high at its highest point.

1.3 Relevant Planning History

1244/APP/2009/1132 53 Pinn Way Ruislip

Two storey rear and single storey side extensions, involving part demolition of existing dwelling and outbuildings.

Decision Date: 22-10-2009 Withdrawn **Appeal:**

Comment on Planning History

None

2. Advertisement and Site Notice

2.1 Advertisement Expiry Date:- Not applicable

2.2 Site Notice Expiry Date:- Not applicable

3. Comments on Public Consultations

EXTERNAL:

20 adjoining owner/occupiers and the Ruislip Residents' Association consulted, 14 letters of objection and a petition with 34 signatories received.

Letters of objection:

- (i) The proposal would not comply with policies BE5, BE13, BE15, BE19, BE20, BE21, BE23 and BE38;
- (ii) The proposed development would be out of character with the original house, the street scene and the surrounding area;
- (iii) The proposal would result in an increase in on-street parking;
- (iii) The proposal would represent an overdevelopment of the site;
- (iv) The proposal would constitute inappropriate development in the area;
- (v) The proposed development would not harmonise with the character and appearance of the area;
- (vi) The proposal would block the open aspect between 51 and 53 Pinn Way

Petition:

"This petition is signed by the residents of Pinn Way, Ruislip who are immediately affected and deeply concerned about the scale of the extension to the above property.

We consider that the proposed development of 53 Pinn Way does not conform to the

Council's planning policies and therefore we strongly object to it.

The proposed plans show gross over-development as the bulk and size of the extension is totally out of keeping with the surrounding area. This eyesore would undoubtedly detract from what is an attractive area of North Ruislip and it would in no way improve or complement the character of the area.

The plans also state that there are no trees or hedges within falling distance of the boundary which is incorrect. In addition we also have concerns about traffic and parking as we feel that the size of the proposed development means that adequate provision has not been made for this.

We request that our objections are forwarded to the Planning Committee."

Ruislip Residents' Association:

"We are writing in support of local residents, who have expressed concern at the form of development proposed in this application. Our particular concerns are:

- (i) The extended building would have a footprint double the size of the existing house;
- (ii) The rear extension would not be subordinate to the original house and appears to exceed the maximum permitted depth (HDAS 6.4). It would also block the existing open aspect between Nos.53 and 55, particularly when viewed on the approach from Eastcote Road;
- (iii) The impact the extension would have on the side windows on the south elevation of No.51. It is also not clear from the plans whether it complies with requirements of HDAS 6.3;
- (iv) There is no provision for side access to the rear of the property;
- (v) The proposed roof appears to be large enough to create considerably more living space and presumably this could be allowed under Permitted Development rights at some future date;
- (vi) The bulk of the new roof appears even larger than the previous scheme.

To summarise we believe that, due to its bulk and size, the proposed building would have a detrimental effect both on the street scene and the amenity of adjacent properties."

Officer Comments: The points raised are addressed in the report.

English Heritage (Archaeology): The present proposals are not considered to have an affect on any significant archaeological remains.

INTERNAL

Trees/Landscape:

There are no trees that will be affected by this development and there are no locations to plant new trees, therefore, the Saved Policy BE38 of the UDP does not apply in this case and there are no further comments relating to trees.

4. UDP / LDF Designation and London Plan

The following UDP Policies are considered relevant to the application:-

Part 1 Policies:

Part 2 Policies:

BE13	New development must harmonise with the existing street scene.
BE15	Alterations and extensions to existing buildings
BE19	New development must improve or complement the character of the area.
BE20	Daylight and sunlight considerations.
BE21	Siting, bulk and proximity of new buildings/extensions.
BE23	Requires the provision of adequate amenity space.
BE24	Requires new development to ensure adequate levels of privacy to neighbours.
AM7	Consideration of traffic generated by proposed developments.
AM14	New development and car parking standards.
HDAS	Hillingdon Design & Accessibility Statement (HDAS): Residential Extensions (adopted in August 2006 and to form part of the emerging Local Development Framework documents): 4.0 Side Extensions: Single Storey 6.0 Rear and First Floor Rear Extensions: Two Storey 7.0 Loft Conversions and Roof Alterations
LPP 4A.3	London Plan Policy 4A.3 - Sustainable Design and Construction.

5. MAIN PLANNING ISSUES

The main issues for consideration relate to the impact of the proposal on the character and appearance of the original house, on the street scene and surrounding area, and on residential amenity.

The application site lies within a residential area. Pinn Way is characterised by detached houses of varying styles and designs, some of which, include two storey extensions. Given the character of the area, the principle of a two storey rear extension is considered to be acceptable.

However, the proposed two storey rear extension, by reason of its overall size, siting, design, appearance and excessive length of projection, would fail to harmonise with the character and proportions of the original house. The resultant crown roof design is uncharacteristic of the houses in the street and would result in a form of development that would appear incongruous in the street scene.

The proposed side extensions would also fail to appear subordinate with the appearance of the original house as they would result in creating an excessively wide house. These should be set back behind the front wall so as to appear subordinate to the original house.

Overall, the proposed development would represent an incongruous form of development which would fail to harmonise with the character and proportions of the original house and would detract from the character and appearance of the street scene and the surrounding area generally, contrary to policies BE13, BE15 and BE19 of the adopted Hillingdon

Unitary Development Plan (Saved Policies September 2007) and paragraphs 4.0, 6.0 and 7.0 of the Hillingdon Design & Accessibility Statement (HDAS): Residential Extensions.

51 Pinn Way would be separated from the proposed northern side extension by its attached garage. That property has a series of windows overlooking the application property. A 4.5m gap would be retained between the flank walls of the proposed side extension and 51 Pinn Way and furthermore, the existing garage at 51 Pinn Way would screen the impact of the proposed development from that house when viewed from the rear. As such, it is considered that the proposed development would not harm the residential amenities of the occupiers of 51 Pinn Way through, overdominance and visual intrusion. No windows are proposed facing that house and therefore, no overlooking will result. The proposed development would result in an increase in overshadowing onto 51 Pinn Way during the afternoon hours however this increase is not considered to be so significant as to justify a refusal of planning permission.

The proposed two storey rear extension would not project beyond the rear wall of 55 Pinn Way. Furthermore, that property does not have any habitable room flank windows facing the application site. The proposed single storey side extension along the southern flank wall would project beyond the front wall of 55 Pinn Way. However, as that property lies to the south of the application property, no overshadowing will result.

It is therefore considered that the proposal would not harm the residential amenities of adjoining occupiers and would be in accordance with policies BE20, BE21 and BE24 of the adopted Hillingdon Unitary Development Plan (Saved Policies September 2007). The new windows would provide an adequate outlook and natural light to the rooms they would serve, in accordance with London Plan Policy 4A.3.

Some 300sq.m of private amenity space would be retained which would be sufficient for the enlarged house. With regards to parking, the application site would remain as a dwelling house and as such, under the Council's parking standards, two off-street parking spaces should be retained. Two off-street parking spaces are retained in the front area and as such, the proposal would not result in an increase in on-street parking, in accordance with policies AM7 and AM14 of the adopted Hillingdon Unitary Development Plan (Saved Policies September 2007).

In conclusion, for the reasons outlined above and that the proposal would be contrary to the aforementioned policies of the adopted Hillingdon Unitary Development Plan (Saved Policies September 2007), this application is recommended for refusal.

6. RECOMMENDATION

REFUSAL for the following reasons:

1 NON2 Non Standard reason for refusal

The proposed two storey rear extension, by reason of its overall size, siting, design, appearance and length of projection design, would represent a disproportionate and incongruous addition that would fail to appear subordinate to the appearance of the original house. It would be detrimental to the appearance of the original house and would detract from the character and appearance of the surrounding area generally, contrary to Policies BE13, BE15 and BE19 of the adopted Hillingdon Unitary Development Plan

(Saved Policies 2007) and the adopted Supplementary Planning Document HDAS: Residential Extensions.

2 NON2 Non Standard reason for refusal

The proposed crown roof design would represent an incongruous form of development which would fail to harmonise with the architectural composition of the original house. It would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the original house and the street scene and surrounding area generally, contrary to Policies BE13, BE15 and BE19 of the adopted Hillingdon Unitary Development Plan (Saved Policies September 2007) and the adopted Supplementary Planning Document HDAS: Residential Extensions.

3 NON2 Non Standard reason for refusal

The proposed single storey side extensions, by reason of their alignment with the front wall of the original house, would fail to appear subordinate to the appearance of the original house. They would be detrimental to the appearance of the original house and would detract from the character and appearance of the street scene generally, contrary to Policies BE13, BE15 and BE19 of the adopted Hillingdon Unitary Development Plan (Saved Policies 2007) and the adopted Supplementary Planning Document HDAS: Residential Extensions.

INFORMATIVES

Standard Informatives

- 1 The decision to REFUSE planning permission has been taken having regard to all relevant planning legislation, regulations, guidance, circulars and Council policies, including The Human Rights Act (1998) (HRA 1998) which makes it unlawful for the Council to act incompatibly with Convention rights, specifically Article 6 (right to a fair hearing); Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life); Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property) and Article 14 (prohibition of discrimination).


- 2 The decision to REFUSE planning permission has been taken having regard to the policies and proposals in the Hillingdon Unitary Development Plan Saved Policies (September 2007) set out below, and to all relevant material considerations, including Supplementary Planning Guidance:
Policy No.

BE13	New development must harmonise with the existing street scene.
BE15	Alterations and extensions to existing buildings
BE19	New development must improve or complement the character of the area.
BE20	Daylight and sunlight considerations.
BE21	Siting, bulk and proximity of new buildings/extensions.
BE23	Requires the provision of adequate amenity space.
BE24	Requires new development to ensure adequate levels of privacy to neighbours.
AM7	Consideration of traffic generated by proposed developments.


- AM14 New development and car parking standards.
- HDAS Hillingdon Design & Accessibility Statement (HDAS): Residential Extensions (adopted in August 2006 and to form part of the emerging Local Development Framework documents):
4.0 Side Extensions: Single Storey
6.0 Rear and First Floor Rear Extensions: Two Storey
7.0 Loft Conversions and Roof Alterations
- LPP 4A.3 London Plan Policy 4A.3 - Sustainable Design and Construction.

Contact Officer: Sonia Bowen

Telephone No: 01895 250230



Notes

 Site boundary

For identification purposes only.

This copy has been made by or with the authority of the Head of Committee Services pursuant to section 47 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (the Act). Unless the Act provides a relevant exception to copyright.

© Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. London Borough of Hillingdon 100019283 2009

Site Address

**53 Pinn Way
Ruislip**

**LONDON BOROUGH
OF HILLINGDON
Planning &
Community Services**

Civic Centre, Uxbridge, Middx. UB8 1UW
Telephone No.: Uxbridge 250111

Planning Application Ref:

1244/APP/2009/2425

Scale

1:1,250

Planning Committee

North

Date

July 2010



HILLINGDON
LONDON